

1
2
3
4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
5 AT TACOMA

6 MICHAEL DENTON,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 PAUL PASTOR, et al,

10 Defendants.

Case No. 3:17-cv-5075-BHS-TLF

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS FOR EVIDENTIARY
HEARING, TO EXTEND TIME, AND
TO RETURN DOCUMENTS

11 This matter comes before the Court on plaintiff's emergency motion for an evidentiary
12 hearing (Dkt. 40), motion for an evidentiary hearing (Dkt. 44), motion to extend any and all
13 deadline response dates (Dkt. 43), and motion to order defendants to return plaintiff's legal
14 documents (Dkt. 45). For the reasons set forth below, those motions are DENIED.

15 On May 24, 2017, plaintiff filed his emergency motion for an evidentiary hearing (Dkt.
16 40), and on May 26, 2107, he filed his other motion for an evidentiary hearing (Dkt. 44). In both
17 motions, plaintiff asserts that on May 19, 2017, defendants entered his cell for the purpose of
18 transporting him to Western State Hospital for a diminished capacity evaluation, and that when
19 they did so, they seized his legal documents in order to prevent him from proceeding with this
20 litigation. Plaintiff seeks a hearing before the Court to present evidence of defendants' alleged
21 continued violation of his constitutional rights.

22 Plaintiff, however, has not come forth with evidence to support his allegations. Contrary
23 to plaintiff's claims of defendants' intent to impede his litigation efforts, the record indicates that
24

1 plaintiff for security reasons was not allowed to take all of his legal materials with him during his
2 transfer to Western State Hospital. Dkt. 52, p. 2. Plaintiff's legal property was secured in storage
3 in the Pierce County Jail's property room. Dkt. 43, p. 2; Dkt. 44, p. 2. When plaintiff returned to
4 the Pierce County Jail on June 2, 2017, he was offered his legal property. Dkt. 52, p. 3. Although
5 plaintiff initially refused its return, three days later he requested it and his property was returned
6 to him that same day. *Id.*

7 As there is no evidence that defendants seized plaintiff's legal property due to improper
8 motives and that plaintiff's legal property was timely offered and given to plaintiff on his return
9 to the Pierce County Jail, the Court finds there is no need for an evidentiary hearing, emergency
10 or otherwise, and therefore those motions (Dkts. 40, 44) are both DENIED. For the same reason,
11 plaintiff's motion to order defendants to return that property (Dkt. 45), which was filed on May
12 26, 2017, is also DENIED.

13 Lastly, plaintiff has filed a motion to extend any and all deadline response dates on May
14 26, 2017. Dkt. 43. At the time of that motion's filing, plaintiff was particularly concerned with
15 being able to amend his complaint. *Id.* However, just two days earlier the Court issued an order
16 setting June 30, 2017, as the deadline for plaintiff to move to amend his complaint (Dkt. 39), and
17 on June 15, 2017, he filed a proposed amended complaint (Dkt. 58). There are currently no other
18 deadlines requiring a response from plaintiff. Accordingly, plaintiff's motion to extend any and
19 all deadlines (Dkt. 43) is no longer necessary and therefore is DENIED as such.

20 The Clerk shall send a copy of this Order to plaintiff and counsel for defendants.

21 Dated this 20th day of September, 2017.

22 

23 Theresa L. Fricke
24 United States Magistrate Judge